

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,647	07/07/2001	Dale R. Lovercheck	ANAL-VIT 6584	
75	590 02/12/2003			
Dale R. Lovercheck, Esquire			EXAMINER	
92 Patricia Place Media, PA 19063			HUI, SAN MING R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
		DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/900,647	LOVERCHECK, DALE R.				
	Examin r	Art Unit				
(suppremental)	San-ming Hui	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whici	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-30,33-35 and 37-46</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s/) _					
10. Other:						
		DMANABHAN 2 11 0 3				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the response to arguments set forth in the advisory action mailed January 8, 2003. No unanswered arguments are seen to be present.